PECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL OF

Amendment to the Commission's Rules Regarding a Plan for Sharing the Costs of Microwave Relocation WT Docket No. 95-157

To: The Commission

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

REPLY COMMENTS OF DCR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

William R. Richardson, Jr. Lynn R. Charytan

WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 663-6455

Attorneys for DCR Communications, Inc.

January 11, 1996

A chairmant Ody Decadant

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

Amendment to the Commission's Rules Regarding a Plan for Sharing the Costs of Microwave Relocation

WT Docket No. 95-157

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF DCR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

DCR Communications, Inc. ("DCR") respectfully submits these reply comments in the above-referenced proceeding. 11

In response to the Commission's proposals for a costsharing relocation plan, over 30 parties filed comments.

Although recommending various modifications, the majority of the
commenters supported the Commission's basic plan. As DCR and
many other commenters noted, the Commission's cost-sharing plan,
including the reimbursement formula and caps, will facilitate
rapid relocation while balancing the rights of incumbents and the
needs of PCS licensees. Definitions of "good faith" and
"comparable facilities" will clarify the parties' duties during
the negotiation period and ensure that negotiations remain within
fair and reasonable bounds.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM"), FCC 95-426 (released Oct. 13, 1995).

Notwithstanding the general support for the Commission's proposals, the NPRM generated many suggestions for refinements or modification of the Commission's proposed rules. DCR limits its reply comments to the following points raised by various commenters:

- (1) <u>Private Agreements</u>: DCR has no objection to the request by many commenters² that the Commission refrain from prohibiting private agreements that do not accord with the Commission's cost-sharing formula. However, the Commission must ensure that subsequent PCS licensees who were not involved in negotiating such agreements have recourse to the Commission's cost-sharing plan to determine the amount of their contribution, in the event that a private agreement reached by the initial relocator licensees is not satisfactory or fair to later arrivals.³
- (2) <u>Voluntary Negotiation Period</u>: A number of commenters suggested that the two-year voluntary negotiation period was too long, or that a "good faith" requirement should be

See, e.g., Comments of GTE at 3, 20; Comments of Personal Communications Industry Association ("PCIA") at 37; Comments of Pacific Bell Mobile Services at 6; Comments of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association at 6-7.

DCR does note believe that the formula proposed by GTE, Sprint Telecommunications Venture, and PCS Primeco should be substituted for the Commission's plan as the standard cost-sharing plan.

imposed during the voluntary period. DCR agrees with these commenters that the Commission should revisit its rules regarding the voluntary negotiation period, during which incumbents are not under a duty even to engage in negotiations. Allowing such a stalemate to drag on for two years frustrates the Commission's goal of promoting rapid introduction of PCS. DCR also agrees that the Commission should clarify that the voluntary negotiation period begins to run for an incumbent once an A or B licensee (or any initial PCS licensee) has begun the negotiation process with that entity. The incumbent should not get the benefit of a renewed, further voluntary negotiation period when the FCC begins accepting license applications for later blocks.

(3) Test Period: Several commenters suggested modifications to the 12-month test period for an incumbent's alternative facilities. Commenters argued that the test period should be waivable by contract; that the test period should not apply to those incumbents that relocate independently in return for cash payments and thus make their own alternative arrangements; that the Commission hold incumbent authorizations during the test period to prevent abuses; and that the relocating

See, e.g., Comments of Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems at 2-3; Comments of PCS Primeco at 16; Comments of Sprint Telecommunications Venture at 17-20 (proposing integrated negotiation period and "bad actor" test); Comments of PCIA at 14-15 (proposing elimination of the voluntary period); Comments of GO Communications at 7-9; Comments of InterCel at 3-4; Comments of CTIA at 7-10.

See Comments of PCIA at 23.

PCS licensee not be required to hold the incumbent's original spectrum in reserve, but instead be permitted to supply any comparable service facility if the first alternative proves unsatisfactory. 61

DCR supports these proposals, and also suggests that the Commission reconsider the 12-month test period. If a system is not comparable, this problem should be evident in far less than 12 months. PCS licensees awaiting the incumbent's decision concerning its replacement facility could be hampered from making reliable economic forecasts or proceeding with service plans during this entire 12-month period; the incumbent could at any time during that period reject the new facility and initiate a new relocation process, possibly involving new spectrum. DCR suggests that a six-month period would be more than adequate to permit assessment of comparability.

(4) Actual Interference: In its initial comments, DCR agreed with the Commission that relocation reimbursement duties are triggered only when the subsequent licensee's "operations would have caused interference to the relocated link." DCR noted that licensees that are able to meet their system needs without creating interference (or what would have amounted to

See, e.g., Comments of Southwestern Bell Communications at 5-6; Comments of PCS Primeco at 19-20; Comments of PCIA at 23-24; Comments of Pacific Bell at 12; Comments of Bellsouth Corporation at 11.

<u>NPRM</u> ¶ 58.

interference if the incumbent had not been relocated) "should be rewarded rather than penalized." DCR also agrees with the proposal by Carolina PCS I that if the "technical parameters" of a subsequent licensee's system "demonstrate that no interference would have resulted," the licensee should be exempt from any reimbursement obligation.

Conclusion

As outlined herein and in DCR's comments, DCR supports the Commission's efforts to formulate a cost-sharing plan and a fair and balanced relocation process.

Respectfully submitted,

William R. Richardson, Ji

Lýnn R. Charytan

WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 663-6455

Attorneys for DCR Communications, Inc.

January 11, 1996

 $[\]frac{8}{2}$ Comments of DCR at 7.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lynn R. Charytan, hereby certify that I have this
11th day of January, 1996, caused to be delivered by first-class
mail (except as noted) the foregoing Reply Comments as shown on
the attached Service List.

ynn R. Charytan

Service List

Alcatel Network Systems

Robert J. Miller, Esq. Gardere & Wynne 1601 Elm Streetm, Suite 3000 Dallas, Texas 75201

Alexander Utility Engineering

Dan R. Banks Alexander Utility Engineering 975 W. Bitters Road San Antonio, Texas 78216

Thomas K. Crowe, Esq. 2300 M Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037

American Gas Association

Michael Baly III American Gas Association 1515 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

American Petroluem Institute

Wayne V. Black, Esq. John Reardon Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

American Public Power Association

M. Todd Tuten, Esq. Government Relations Representative American Power Association 2301 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1484

<u>Association of Public-Safety Communications</u> <u>Officials - International</u>

Robert M. Gurss Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane 1666 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20006

Association of American Railroads

Thomas J. Keller Sari Zimmermann Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand 901 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

BellSouth Corporation

John F. Beasley, Esq. William B. Barfield, Esq. Jim O. Llewellyn, Esq. BellSouth 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Altanta, Georgia 30309-2641

Charles P. Featherstun, Esq. David G. Richards, Esq. BellSouth 1133 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

California PCS I Limited Partnership

Jeanne M. Walsh, Esq. Kurtis & Associates 2000 M Street N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association

Michael F. Altschul, Esq.
Randall S. Coleman, Esq.
Brenda K. Pennington, Esq.
Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Cox & Smith Incorporated

Michael A. Morell Cox & Smith 112 East Pecan Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205

East River Electric Power Cooperative

Jeffery L. Nelson 121 Southest First Street Madison, South Dakota 57042

* Federal Communications Commission

Michelle Farquhar Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W. Room 5002 Washington, D.C. 20554

Lina Kinney
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W.
Room 5126-N
Washington, D.C. 20554

GO Communications

John A. Malloy, Esq. Leo R. Fitzsimon, Esq. 201 N. Union Street, N.W. Suite 410 Alexandría, Virginia 22314

GTE Service Corporation

Andre J. Lachance, Esq. GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

* Indicates hand delivery

Industrial Telecommunications Association

Mark E. Crosby Frederick J. Day, Esq. Industrial Telecommunications Association 1110 N. Glebe Road Suite 500 Arlington, Virginia 22201-5720

Infocore Wireless

William D. Chamblin III Infocore Wireless 661 Moore Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Intercel

Michael K. Kurtis, Esq. Kurtis & Associates 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

* International Transcription Service

ITS 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 246 Washington, D.C. 20554

<u>Interstate Natural Gas Association of America</u> National Rural Electric Cooperative Association

Terry D. Boss Interstate Natural Gas Association of America 555 13th Street, N.W. Suite 300 West Washington, D.C. 20004

Iowa L.P. 136

James U. Troup, Esq. Arter & Hadden 1801 K Street, N.W. Suite 400k Washington, D.C. 20006-1301

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Los Angeles County Internal Services Department

Robert M. Gurss, Esq. Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane 1666 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20006

Maine Microwave Associates

Ronald J. Doler Maine Microwave Associates 220 Riverside Industrial Parkway Portland, Maine 04103

National Rural Electric Cooperative Association

Ronald K. Greenhalgh 4301 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22203-1860

Omnipoint Communications

Mark J. O'Connor, Esq. Piper & Marbury 1200 19th Street, N.W. Seventh Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

PCS Primeco, L.P.

William L. Roughton, Esq. 1133 20th Street, N.W. Suite 850 Washington, D.C. 20036

Pacific Telesis

James P. Tuthill, Esq. Betsy S. Granger, Esq. Pacific Telesis 4420 Rosewood Drive 4th Floor, Building 2 Pleasanton, California 94588

James L. Wurtz, Esq. Margaret E. Garber, Esq. 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Personal Communications Industry Assocation

Mark Golden
Personal Communications Industry Associatino
1019 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael P. Rappe

c/o Minnesota Equal Access Network Services 10300 6th Avenue North Plymouth, Minnesota 55441

City of San Diego, California

Jack Richards, Esq.
Raymond A. Kowalski, Esq.
Keller & Heckman
1001 G Street, N,W.
Suite 500 W
Washington, D.C. 20002

South Carolina Public Service Authority

Robert M. Gurss, Esq. Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane 1666 Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20006

Southern California Gas Company

Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esq. George L. Lyon, Jr., Esq. Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Southern Company

Carole C. Harris, Esq. Christine M. Gill, Esq. Tamara Y. Davis, Esq. McMermott, Will & Emery 1850 K Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006

Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems

Glen A. Glass, Esq.
Carol Tacker, Esq.
Cory Kale, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems
17330 Preston Road
Suite 100A
Dallas, Texas 75252

Sprint Telecommunications Venture

Jonathan M. Chambers Sprint Telecommunications Venture 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

Jonathan D. Blake, Esq.
Kurt A. Wimmer, Esq.
Gerard J. Waldron, Esq.
Donna M. Epps, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20044

Telecommunications Industry Association

George M. Kizer
Denis Couillard
Eric Schimmel
Telecommunications Industry Assocation
2500 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 300
Arlington, Virginia 22201

Tenneco Energy

Julian L. Shepard, Esq.
Thomas J. Keller, Esq.
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand
901 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

UTC

Jeffrey L. Sheldon Sean A. Stokes Thomas E. Goode 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036

UTAM

Willard R. Nichols Utam 1155 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 401 Washington, D.C. 20036

U.S. Airwaves

Pamela W. Portin U.S. Airwaves 10500 N.E. 8th Street Suite 625 Bellevue, Washington 98004

Western Wireless Corporation

Louis Gurman, Esq.
Doane F. Kiechel, Esq.
Nadja S. Sodos, Esq.
Gurman, Blask & Freedman
1400 16th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20554

Williams Wireless

John P. Gillispie WilTech Group Tulsa Union Depo Suite 200 111 East First Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-2808